

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/768,886   | 01/31/2004                        | Yinong Yang          | UAF-03-14               | 8057             |  |
| 34607<br>ANGELA FOS                                    | 7590 01/12/2007<br>TER, PHD, ESQ. |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| 2906 BIRCHWOOD COURT<br>NORTH BRUNSWICK, NJ 08902-3933 |                                   |                      | KUMAR, VINOD            |                  |  |
| NORTH BRUT   | NSWICK, INJ U8902-393             |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER . |                  |  |
|  |                                   |                      | 1638                    |                  |  |
|  |                                   |                      |                         |                  |  |
|  |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE               | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                                   |                      | 01/12/2007              | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | ·   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 10/768,886  | YANG ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Vinod Kumar   | 1638  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add                                  | lress                                    |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  | -   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |   | ,  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | mpliant Amendment                                   | (PTOL-324).                              |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to:  | vided below or appended.  |   | explanation of                           |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-10, 26-28, 31-32, 35-36, 38, 42, 44 a</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-25,29,30,33,3</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | nd 51-55 (claims at final rejection).<br>4,37,39-41,43 and 45-50.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(      | ils to provide a<br>1).                  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attacl                             | hed.                                     |  |  |  |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.  |   | n condition for allowa                              | nce because:                             |  |  |  |
| 12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   | 1/1:  |  |  |  |  |

PHUONG T. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations in claims 6-8, 27-28, 31-32, 36, 42, 51, 52, and 53 were not previously presented and would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the paper filed on December 11, 2006, Applicants argue that claims 6-8, 27-28, 36, and 51-53 have been amended to recite the phrase "wherein SEQ ID NO: 1 is expressed under abiotic stress conditions of 4 oC and "wherein SEQ ID NO: 1 is expressed under abiotic stress conditions of 4 oC in the host cell". Applicants further argue that because of this amendment the claimed invention is not anticipated by Wen et al. or obvious under U.S.C. 103(a) (response, filed December 11, 2006).

Applicant's arguments were fully considered but were not found persusaive. Office maintains that ammended claims do not overcome rejections of record stated in the previous Office actions. Furthermore, it is noted that the amended claims raise new issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which would require further search and/or consideration. In response to new limitations to the amended claims as argued by the Applicants, it is important to note that property of expression of SEQ ID NO: 1 encoding SEQ ID NO: 2 under abiotic stress condition of 4 oC is inherent to the nucleotide sequence taught by Wen et al. Accordingly, Office maintains that the amended claims filed December 11, 2006 do not overcome the rejections stated in the Office action mailed on September 7, 2006.